organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Steven Humphreys,^a David J. Watkin,^a* Gangadharar J. Sanjayan,^b George E. Tranter,^c Alison A. Edwards^c and George W. J. Fleet^b

^aDepartment of Chemical Crystallography, Chemical Research Laboratory, Mansfield Road, Oxford OX1 3TA, England, ^bDepartment of Organic Chemistry, Chemical Research Laboratory, Mansfield Road, Oxford OX1 3TA, England, and ^cBiological Chemistry, Division of Biomedical Sciences, Imperial College, London SW7 2AZ, England

Correspondence e-mail: david.watkin@chem.ox.ac.uk

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 190 KMean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.006 \text{ Å}$ Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.085 wR factor = 0.119 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Isopropyl 2,5-anhydro-4-(2,5-anhydro-4-azido-3-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-4-deoxy-L-ribonylamino)-3-O-tert-butyldiphenylsilyl-4-deoxy-L-ribonate

The crystal structure of the title compound, $C_{45}H_{56}N_4O_7Si_2$, shows a γ -turn conformation which is stabilized by an intramolecular hydrogen bond.

Received 24 February 2005 Accepted 3 March 2005 Online 11 March 2005

Comment

Tetrahydrofuran (THF)-derived sugar amino acids (SAA) have been extensively investigated as dipeptide isosteres (Chakraborty *et al.*, 2004; Grotenberg *et al.*, 2004). A multitude of peptidomimetics, including a number of δ -THF SAA scaffolds, induce β -turn-like structures (Smith *et al.*, 2003). However, there are relatively few examples of γ -turn conformations (Etzkorn *et al.*, 1999; Lindvall *et al.*, 1999; Belvisi *et al.*, 1999). In contrast to the extensive studies on β peptides built from residues containing five- or six-membered rings (Wang *et al.*, 2000), there are only limited reports of γ peptides based on cyclic templates (Curran *et al.*, 1996; Crisma *et al.*, 2001; Goswami & Moloney, 1999).



This paper reports the structure of the γ -THF SAA compound, (1). The γ -turn conformation (Fig. 1) is stabilized by bifurcated intramolecular N14-H14...O39 and N14-H14...O5 hydrogen bonds. There is no intermolecular



© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

The central section of the molecule, showing the γ -turn stabilized by the bifurcated internal hydrogen bond (dashed lines.

hydrogen bonding, thus leading to an open structure (calculated density = 1.219 Mg m^{-3}) with a substantial opportunity for disorder and large atomic displacements (Fig. 2).

Experimental

Compound (1) was prepared by conventional peptide coupling procedures from the dipeptidomimetic compound (2) (Sanjayan et al., 2003), and was crystallized from methanol.

Crystal data

C45H56N4O7Si2	$D_x = 1.219 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$		
$M_r = 821.13$	Mo K α radiation		
Monoclinic, P2 ₁	Cell parameters from 4658		
a = 15.4548 (4) Å	reflections		
b = 9.0111 (2) Å	$\theta = 5-30^{\circ}$		
c = 16.4767 (5) Å	$\mu = 0.13 \text{ mm}^{-1}$		
$\beta = 102.7868 \ (10)^{\circ}$	T = 190 K		
$V = 2237.72 (10) \text{ Å}^3$	Prism, colourless		
<i>Z</i> = 2	$0.80 \times 0.30 \times 0.20 \mbox{ mm}$		
Data collection			

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer	6788 independent reflections
ωscans	6788 reflections with $I > -3\sigma(I)$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$R_{\rm int} = 0.057$
(DÊNZO/SCALEPACK;	$\theta_{\rm max} = 30.0^{\circ}$
Otwinowski & Minor, 1997)	$h = -21 \rightarrow 21$
$T_{\min} = 0.96, T_{\max} = 0.97$	$k = -12 \rightarrow 8$
23431 measured reflections	$l = -23 \rightarrow 23$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.085$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F^2) + 0.03 + 1.13P]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.119$	where $P = [\max(F_o^2, 0) + 2F_c^2]/3$
S = 0.99	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
6788 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.57 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
550 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.48 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$\overline{D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A}$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
N14—H14· · ·O39	0.84	2.39	3.057 (5)	137
N14—H14· · ·O5	0.84	2.21	2.624 (5)	110

The disordered azide group could only be refined satisfactorily with distance and anisotropic displacement parameter (adp) similarity restraints. The small angle C3-C7-N801 [93.1 (4) $^{\circ}$] and the large angle C3-C7-N901 [123.7 (5)°] suggests that the disorder probably extends into the ring system, but is accommodated by the adps. Atom H71 should also be represented by two partial atoms, but they could not be resolved. The other H atoms were all located in a difference map. Those attached to C atoms were repositioned geometrically. All H atoms were initially refined with soft restraints on the bond lengths and angles to regularize their geometry (C-H =0.93-0.98, O-N = 0.86-0.89 and O-H = 0.82 Å) and U_{iso} values (in the range 1.2–1.5 times $U_{\rm eq}$ of the parent atom), after which they were refined with riding constraints. The large adps in the phenyl groups are consistent with rigid-body librations (R_{TLS} in the range 2.5–5.0%). The large adps in the tert-butyl groups are not amenable to TLS analysis, but look consistent with simple libration. Both the large displacement parameters and the disorder in the azide are not unexpected, because there are no intermolecular hydrogen bonds to consolidate the crystal packing.



Figure 2

The complete molecule with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. The cavity containing the disordered azide is evident, as are the large displacements of the atoms in the periphery. Some labels have been omitted for clarity.

Data collection: COLLECT (Nonius, 2001); cell refinement: DENZO/SCALEPACK (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: DENZO/SCALEPACK; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: CRYSTALS (Betteridge et al., 2003); molecular graphics: CAMERON (Watkin et al., 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: CRYSTALS.

Financial support to AAE for a post-doctoral fellowship from EPSRC (grant No. GR/S44105/01) and to GJS from DST, New Delhi, for a BOYCAST Fellowship, is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.
- Belvisi, L., Gennari, C., Mieglo, A., Potenza, D. & Scolastico, C. (1999). Eur. J. Org. Chem. pp. 389-400.
- Betteridge, P. W., Carruthers, J. R., Cooper, R. I., Prout, K. & Watkin, D. J. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 1487.
- Chakraborty, T. K., Srinivasi, P., Tapadar, S. & Mohan, B. K. (2004). J. Chem. Sci. 116, 187-207.
- Crisma, M., Moretto, A., Toniolo, C., Kaczmarek, K. & Zabrocki, J. (2001). Macromolecules, 34, 5048-5052.
- Curran, T. P., Chandler, N. M., Kennedy, R. J. & Keaney, M. T. (1996). Tetrahedron Lett. 37, 1933-1936.
- Etzkorn, F. A., Travins, J. M. & Hart, S. A. (1999). Adv. Amino Acid Mimetics Peptidomimetics, 2, 125-163.
- Goswami, R. & Moloney, M. G. (1999). Chem. Commun. pp. 2333-2334.
- Grotenberg, G. M., Timmerj, M. S. M., Llamas-Saiz, A. L., Verdoes, M., van der Marel, G. A., van Raaij, M. J., Overkleeft, H. S. & Overhand, M. (2004). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 3444-3446.
- Lindvall, M. K., Rissanen, K., Hakala, J. M. L. & Koskinen, A. M. P. (1999). Tetrahedron Lett. 40, 7427-7430.
- Nonius (2001). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr and R. M. Sweet, pp. 307-326. New York: Academic Press.
- Sanjayan, G. J., Stewart, A. J., Hachisu, S., Gonzalez, R., Watterson, M. P. & Fleet, G. W. J. (2003). Tetrahedron Lett. 44, 5847-5852.
- Smith, M. D., Claridge, T. D. W., Sansom, M. P. & Fleet, G. W. J. (2003). Org. Biomol. Chem. 1, 3647-3655.
- Wang, X., Espinosa, J. F. & Gellman, S. H. (2000). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122, 4821-4822
- Watkin, D. J., Prout, C. K. & Pearce, L. J. (1996). CAMERON. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, Oxford, England.